

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspbo.gm

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,474	08/02/2002	Ernst Kenk	KENK-1	9065	
1444	7590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
	AND NEIMARK, P.L.I. STREET. NW	MADSEN, ROBERT A			
SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/}
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
4 .	Office Action 0	10/088,	474	KENK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
		Robert I		1761	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on ti	he cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	S
Fallu - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ys, a reply within the structure of the structure cause the analyst the structure.	vent, however, may a reply be ti atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commun	nication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n			
		This action is	non-final		
	Since this application is in condition for a			association as to the	ita ia
,	closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex narte</i> O	uavia 1035 CD 11 /	osecution as to the mer	its is
Disnositi	on of Claims	maci Ex parte Q	uayie, 1905 C.D. 11, 4	55 O.G. 215,	
7 i					
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the appli				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from co	onsideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election i	equirement.		
Application	on Papers		•		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		Objected to by the I	Fyaminer	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	ne held in abevance See	27 CFR 1 85(a)	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o				04(-1)
11) 🔲 🦪	he oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner N	nte the attached Office	Action or form DTO 45	21(a).
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	THE EXAMINET. 14	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	۷.
	•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
•	All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu				
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have bee	n received in Applicati	on No	
;	B.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National Stage	•
	application from the International B				
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	d.	
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
) ∐ Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	
informa Paper⊓	auon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date <u>August 2,</u> 2002.	SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trac	demark Office		-,		
) ∐ Notice) ⊠ Informa Paper I	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date <u>August 2, 2002</u> .	i8) SB/08) Tice Action Summa	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)t of Paper No /Mail Date 0824	

Application/Control Number: 10/088,474

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 6-15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Claim 5 is a multiple dependent claim, claim 6 depends from claim 5, and all subsequent claims depend from claim 6. Additionally, claims 15 is also in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. Claim 15 currently recites potatoes comprising the features of claims 2-14. Accordingly, the claims 6-15 not been further treated on the merits.
- 2. Claims 9,10,12-14 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of claim 8. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. As recited, claim 9 depends from either claim 7 or claim 8. Claim 9 is an improper dependent claim with respect to claim 8 because claim 8 recites the preservative added *is* potassium sorbate. Thus, the preservative added is *either* sodium sulfite *or* potassium sorbate, not both. One could infringe on claim 9 without infringing on claim 8. For examination purposes only, claim 9 will be considered to be dependent from claim 7 only.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/088,474

Art Unit: 1761

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that 5. falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 2-4, recite broad limitations (e.g. at least 4-20 days in claim 2) and the claims also recite "preferably" followed by a narrower statement of the broad limitation (e.g. preferably 15-20 days in claim 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/088,474

Art Unit: 1761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hullah (US 4579743).
- 8. Regarding claims 1-5, Hullah teaches any essentially water and gas tight packaging which can be easily sealed may be used as a package that is filled with 10-35% carbon dioxide and 65-90% nitrogen (Column 5, lines 49-67), as recited in claims 3 and 4, having French fried potatoes of 5% fat, 40-60% moisture (Column 5, lines 28-36, Column 6, lines 11-16), at any normal refrigeration storage temperature for 3-8 weeks as recited in claim 2 (column 6, lines 1-10), wherein the fries are treated with a preservative as recited in claim 5.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mason et al. (US 5486369) teaches preserving potato slices with potassium sorbate. Ruggermore (US 373527) teaches preserving cooked potatoes in carbon dioxide/nitrogen environments. Scavone et al. (US H2091H) teaches pre-fried potatoes coated with starch and preservatives. Bednar et al. (US 5242699) teaches pre-fried potatoes coated with starch.

Art Unit: 1761

Shanbhag et al. (US 5000970) teaches pre-fried potatoes coated with starch that are refrigerated. Shatila (US 3959501) teaches pre-fried potatoes treated with preservatives and packaged in an inert gas package. Taylor et al. (US 5997938) and Kester et al. (US 6013296) teach oven-finished fries. Kemp et al. (US 5904946) teach single serving refrigerated French fries.

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Madsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1402. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM M-F.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MILTON I. CANO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 Robert Madsen Examiner Art Unit 1761